IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THE ABI JAOUDI AND AZAR :

TRADING CORP. : CIVIL ACTION

•

v. : NO. 91-6785

:

CIGNA WORLDWIDE INS. CO.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 19th day of August, 2011, it is **ORDERED** as follows:

1. Samuel Lohman's Motion to Find Relief Sought Satisfied as to Him (*Doc. No. 254*) is **DENIED.** Mr. Lohman asks me to dismiss him from this contempt proceeding, declaring, *inter alia*, that he will not represent or assist anyone seeking to enforce the AJA Liberian Judgment. (*Id. at 12.*) Mr. Lohman argues, in effect, that his Declaration and the Receiver's abandonment of the AJA claim in the Cayman Islands render CIGNA's Contempt Motion moot. I disagree. CIGNA seeks, *inter alia*, its attorneys' fees and costs in prosecuting its Motion for Contempt. (*Doc. No. 174 at 3.*) Regardless of the posture of the Cayman Islands litigation, CIGNA may still be entitled to compensation from Mr. Lohman in the event I find him in civil contempt of this Court's April 10, 2001 Injunction. See Robin Woods Inc. v. Woods, 28 F.3d 396, 400 (3d Cir. 1994) ("Sanctions for civil contempt serve two purposes: 'to coerce the defendant into compliance with the court's order and to compensate for losses sustained by the disobedience."); Hill v. Best Medical Intern., Inc., Nos. 07-1709, 08-1404, 09-1194, 2010 WL 2519985, at *4 (W.D. Pa. June 16, 2010) ("The purpose of civil contempt is primarily remedial and to benefit the complainant."). Moreover, Mr. Lohman has not provided "full and complete"

responses to CIGNA's October 25, 2010 Interrogatories and Requests for Production of

Documents. (Doc. No. 239.) Accordingly, I will not dismiss Mr. Lohman from this proceeding.

2. CIGNA's Cross-Motion to Compel Discovery (*Doc. No. 255*) is **GRANTED in part**.

As stated during today's hearing, Mr. Lohman shall, by September 2, 2011, produce, for in

camera review, all documents responsive to CIGNA's October 25, 2010 Requests for Production

of Documents. Mr. Lohman shall provide a full and complete explanation detailing, document

by document, the precise legal grounds for any claim that the document is not discoverable.

3. Mr. Lohman's Motion for a Protective Order (Doc. No. 248) is **DENIED without**

prejudice.

4. CIGNA shall, by **September 2, 2011**, submit a memorandum addressing the

sanctions I should impose on Messrs. Senesie and Sesay for failing to comply with my May 10,

2011 Order. The memorandum shall include an explanation of the legal basis for any proposed

sanctions, including my authority to hold Mr. Sesay in contempt in light of his objection to

personal jurisdiction.

5. Messrs. Senesie and Sesay shall, by **September 16, 2011**, submit a responsive

memorandum on sanctions.

6. Reed Smith's oral Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Messrs. Senesie

and Sesay is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Paul S. Diamond

Paul S. Diamond, J.

2